#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference DRE-0152	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/US2004/011845	International filing date (day/month/year) 16 April 2004 (16.04.2004)	Priority date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) 16 April 2003 (16.04.2003)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant DREXEL UNIVERSITY					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 <i>bis</i> .1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
4.		ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		

	Date of issuance of this report 23 January 2007 (23.01.2007)	
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Yoshiko Kuwahara	
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt07@wipo.int	

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  TO:  IANB MASSEY LICATA LICATA & TYRELL P.C. 66 E. MAIN STREET MARLTON, NJ 08053  Applicant's or agent's file reference  DRE-0152  International application No.  International filing date (day/month/year)  PCT/US04/11845  I 6 April 2004 (16.04.2004)  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  IPCT/US 66 B. MAIN DRE-COME PROPERTY  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. II  Box No. II  Box No. IV  Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
Applicant's or agent's file reference DRE-0152 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/US04/11845 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): A61B 05/00 and US Cl.: 600/369, 368, 309 Applicant DREXEL UNIVERSITY  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application  Certain observations on the international application  Certain observations on the international application				
Applicant's or agent's file reference  DRE-0152 International application No.  International filing date (day/month/year)  Priority date (day/month/year)  16 April 2003 (16.04.2003)  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  IPC(7): A61B 05/00 and US Cl.: 600/369, 368, 309  Applicant  DREXEL UNIVERSITY  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. II  Box No. II  Priority  Box No. III  Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV  Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI  Certain documents cited  Box No. VII  Certain defects in the international application  Certain observations on the international application				
Applicant's or agent's file reference  DRE-0152  International application No.				
International application No.				
PCT/US04/11845				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  IPC(7): A61B 05/00 and US Cl.: 600/369, 368, 309  Applicant  DREXEL UNIVERSITY  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. I Basis of the opinion  Box No. III Priority  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
IPC(7): A61B 05/00 and US C1:: 600/369, 368, 309 Applicant  DREXEL UNIVERSITY  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. I Basis of the opinion  Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Applicant  DREXEL UNIVERSITY  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. I Basis of the opinion  Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:    Box No. I   Basis of the opinion				
Box No. II Basis of the opinion  Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Box No. II Basis of the opinion  Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Certain observations on the international application				
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Box No. IV  Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI  Certain documents cited  Box No. VII  Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII  Certain observations on the international application				
Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI  Certain documents cited  Box No. VII  Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII  Certain observations on the international application				
Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.				
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.				
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Mail Stop PCT, Atm: ISA/US  Date of completion of this opinion Authorized officer				
Commissioner for Patents 30 September 2006 (30.09.2006) Navin Natnithithadha				
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  Feorimila No. (571) 272-2975  Telephone No. (571) 272-2975				
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)				

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US04/11845

Box No. I Basis of this opinion				
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
the international application in the language in which it was filed				
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).	of			
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
a. type of material				
a sequence listing				
table(s) related to the sequence listing				
b. format of material				
on paper				
in electronic form				
c. time of filing/furnishing				
contained in the international application as filed.				
filed together with the international application in electronic form.				
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4. Additional comments:				

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/11845

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

applicability, citations and capitaliations supporting such statement					
1. Statement		-			
Novelty (N)	Claims 14 and 15	YES			
• . ,	Claims 1-13 and 16	NO			
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 14 and 15	YES			
	Claims 1-13 and 16	NO			
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-16	YES			
	Claims NONE	NO			
D1).  As to claims 1-13 and 16, D1 teaches an acacoustic transducers 12 for transmitting and receiving Claim 14 meets the criteria set out in PCT Article 33 measuring specific coagulation cascades steps, activate acoustic blood analyzer.	33(2) as being anticipated by Thomas et al, US 5,119,8 coustic blood analyzer for analyzing changes in blood g acoustic energy to and from a blood sample; and a car (2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly sugation of plasma factors, or platelet function by analyzing (2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly sug	glucose, including a probe 10 nnula 16. ggest a method for g the blood sample with an			
	igh risk for blood clots and excessive bleeding comprisi				
Claims 1-16 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article	33(4), and thus meeting industrial applicability because	the subject matter claimed			